

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BALLSO
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,885	11/21/2003	Gary A. Hoberman	37799-00200	1487	
27171 75	90 10/25/2006	EXAMINER			
_	WEED, HADLEY & MO	CHAVIS,	CHAVIS, JOHN Q		
	NHATTAN PLAZA NY 10005-1413	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		,	2193		
		,	DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/718,88	35	HOBERMAN, GARY A.				
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		John Cha	vis	2193				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 31 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever action. ry period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of	☐ This action is nation is national in the second in the	on-final. for formal matters, pro		merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) □ 6) ⋈ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the appleau (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions [is/are version of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	withdrawn from contact and/or election recommendate accepted or by the tothe drawing(s) the correction is require	equirement. objected to by the Ender the held in abeyance. See the difference of th	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) D Notic 3) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/3//05	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/718,885 Page 2

Art Unit: 2193

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed invention in claim 11 is non-statutory; since, it is claimed as transmitted code.

Patentable subject matter of this type would be stored on a computer readable medium (a manufactured item), a method (process) comprising specific computer implemented steps or a means for executing (machine) the specific steps of each method. The claimed "transmitted code" is not considered to fit into either of the statutory classed indicated above. Therefore, the claims are non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rodrigues et al. (6,067,639).

<u>Claims</u>

1. A method for software application development, the method comprising: characterizing the file format and data structure of at least one known input file type; and

Rodrigues

See the title, abstract and col. 3 lines 25-29.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,885

Art Unit: 2193

creating a library comprising a plurality of jobs,

each job configured to perform a predetermined function and each job including an indicator of job termination, wherein the indicator is either termination success or termination failure, and

the plurality of jobs are configured for linking according to the indicator, with at least one of the jobs configured to read the file format and data structure of the known input file

and convert that input file to another file format or data structure.

- 2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the library of jobs comprise at least one job configured for extracting data from a file.
- 3. A method according to claim 1, wherein the library of jobs comprise at least one job configured for archiving files.
- 4. A method according to claim 1, wherein the library of jobs comprise at least one job configured for loading files.
- 5. A method according to claim 1, wherein the library of jobs comprise at least one job configured for messaging.
- 6. A method according to claim 1, wherein the library of jobs comprise at least one job configured for

See col. 3 lines 29-35.

See col. 3 lines 51-65 and col. 2 lines 4-11.

See col. 4 lines 16-36.

See col. 3 line 33-39.

See col. 4 lines 27-33.

See col. 9 lines 26-31.

See col. 13 lines 37-45, col. 14 lines 15-19, col. 14 lines 35-40 and col. 17 lines 53-64.

See the information above and col. 9 lines 18-28.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,885 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

transforming data in a file.

7. A method according to claim 1, wherein the library of jobs comprise at least one job configured for validating data in a file.

See col. 6 lines 10-19.

8. A method according to claim 1, further comprising: creating a job stream library, the job stream library comprising at least one job stream, the job stream comprising individual jobs from the library of jobs, and the at least one job stream in the job stream library including an indicator of job termination, wherein the indicator is either termination success or termination failure.

See the rejections above.

9. A method according to claim 1, further comprising adding jobs to the library of jobs.

See claim 12 of '639.

Claims 10-14 are rejected as claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodrigues in view of the applicant's choice of selecting the delivery method of data.

Page 5

While Rodrigues does not specifically mention receiving the data file as an email attachment (although he provides for porting to different environments), the feature is considered a choice of design and merely a selection not related to the development of the software application. Claims 16-17 is rejected for the same reason specified in the rejection of claim 15 above. Rodrigues also does not provide for checking security access, as indicated in claim 18; however, the feature would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to ensure the files are transmitted to authorized users.

Rodrigues also does not teach the feature of utilizing a visual interlinking of jobs (claim 19); however, the feature would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to show the user how jobs are linked to simplify the development process and enable easy modification of existing processes. Rodrigues also does not indicate that a specific programming language (claim 20) is utilized; however, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a specific programming language to take advantage of its specific features, such as ease of use, compatible with most systems and simplifying the process of transmitting to other systems (such as via email).

Rodrigues teach each of the features of claims 21-24 except the manager feature; however in the following locations certain management functions exist, see col. 4 lines 45-51 and col. 6 lines 53-67 and col. 15 lines 47-51. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to enable management functions to be created along with the developed software to ensure

Application/Control Number: 10/718,885

Art Unit: 2193

compatibility and to enable control and testing of the specific functions created during

Page 6

development.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John Chavis whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3720. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:30pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JC

John Chavis

Primary Examiner AU-2193

Gel Ch